arXiv:0809.3482v1 [math.NT] 20 Sep 2008

# ELLIPTIC CURVES WITH MAXIMAL GALOIS ACTION ON THEIR TORSION POINTS

DAVID ZYWINA

Abstract. Given an elliptic curve $E$ over a number field $k$, the Galois action on the torsion points of $E$ induces a Galois representation, $\rho_E\colon \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. For a fixed number field $k$, we describe the image of $\rho_E$ for a "random" elliptic curve $E$ over $k$. In particular, if $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$ is linearly disjoint from the cyclotomic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, then $\rho_E$ will be surjective for "most" elliptic curves over $k$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over a number field $k$. For each positive integer $m$, let $E[m]$ be the group of $m$-torsion points in $E(\overline{k})$. The group $E[m]$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^2$ and is acted on by the absolute Galois group $G_k := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. This action may be expressed in terms of a Galois representation,

$$\rho_{E,m}\colon G_k \to \mathrm{Aut}(E[m]) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}).$$

Taking the inverse limit over all $m$ (ordered by divisibility), we have a Galois representation

$$\rho_E\colon G_k \to \mathrm{Aut}(E(\overline{k})_{\mathrm{tors}}) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

In this paper, we will describe how large the image of $\rho_E$ is for a "random" elliptic curve over $k$.

A renowned theorem of Serre ([Ser72, Théorème 3]) says that if $E$ does not have complex multiplication, then $\rho_E(G_k)$ has finite index in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. In the same paper, Serre shows that $\rho_E(G_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ for every elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

The first example of a surjective $\rho_E$ was given in the doctoral thesis of A. Greicius [Gre07]. Let $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a root of the polynomial $x^3 + x + 1$, and let $E/\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be the elliptic curve given by the Weierstrass equation $y^2+2xy+\alpha y = x^3-x^2$. In [Gre07, §3.3], it is shown that $\rho_E(G_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$.

1.1. **Statement of results.** Denote the ring of integers of $k$ by $\mathcal{O}_k$. For $(a,b) \in \mathcal{O}_k^2$, define $\Delta_{a,b} = -16(4a^3 + 27b^2)$. If $\Delta_{a,b} \neq 0$, then let $E(a,b)$ be the elliptic curve over $k$ defined by the Weierstrass equation

$$Y^2 = X^3 + aX + b.$$

Fix a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_k^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^{2[k:\mathbb{Q}]}$. For each real number $x > 0$, define the set

$$B_k(x) = \{(a,b) \in \mathcal{O}_k^2 : \Delta_{a,b} \neq 0,\ \|(a,b)\| \leq x\}.$$

Thus to each pair $(a,b) \in B_k(x)$, we can associate an elliptic curve $E(a,b)$ over $k$. Note that

$$|B_k(x)| \sim \kappa x^{2[k:\mathbb{Q}]} \tag{1.1}$$

as $x \to \infty$, where $\kappa > 0$ is a constant which depends on $k$ and $\|\cdot\|$.

*Date*: September 20, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G05; Secondary 11F80, 11N36.

*Key words and phrases.* elliptic curves, Galois representations, sieve methods.

The following theorem addresses a question of Greicius on the surjectivity of the $\rho_E$ ([Gre07, §3.4 Problem 3]). Let $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{cyc}} \subseteq \overline{k}$ be the cyclotomic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that $k \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{cyc}} = \mathbb{Q}$ and $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$. Then*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{|\{(a,b) \in B_k(x) : \rho_{E(a,b)}(G_k) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})\}|}{|B_k(x)|} = 1.$$

Intuitively, the theorem says that for a randomly chosen pair $(a,b) \in \mathcal{O}_k^2$, the corresponding elliptic curve $E(a,b)$ satisfies $\rho_{E(a,b)}(G_k) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$.

Let $\chi_k \colon G_k \to \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ be the cyclotomic character of $k$. For each elliptic curve $E$ over $k$, we have $\det \circ \rho_E = \chi_k$. In particular, the assumption $k \cap \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{cyc}} = \mathbb{Q}$ (equivalently $\chi_k(G_k) = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$) is necessary for Theorem 1.1. For a number field $k$, define the group

$$H_k := \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : \det(A) \in \chi_k(G_k)\}.$$

Given an elliptic curve $E$ over $k$, we certainly have $\rho_E(G_k) \subseteq H_k$. Our main theorem, which generalizes Theorem 1.1, shows that this is the only general constraint for $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$ be a number field. Then*

$$\frac{|\{(a,b) \in B_k(x) : \rho_{E(a,b)}(G_k) \neq H_k\}|}{|B_k(x)|} \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} \frac{\log x}{\sqrt{x}}.$$

*Remark* 1.3. Theorem 1.2 shows that the proportion of $(a,b)$ in $B_k(x)$ with $\rho_{E(a,b)}(G_k) = H_k$, as a function of $x$, quickly approaches 1. The implicit constant in the theorem is effective and depends only on $k$ and the fixed norm.

Before continuing, let us introduce some more notation. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over a field $k$. For each positive integer $m$, denote the fixed field in $\overline{k}$ of $\ker(\rho_{E,m})$ by $k(E[m])$.

1.2. **The rationals.** For completeness, we now discuss the case $k = \mathbb{Q}$ which was excluded from Theorem 1.2. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$, and let $\Delta$ be the discriminant of some Weierstrass model of $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. There exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\mu_n)$, where $\mu_n$ is the set of $n$-th roots of unity (the assumption $k = \mathbb{Q}$ is important here!).

Using that the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$ lies in both $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_n) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E[n])$, Serre deduced that the index $[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}) : \rho_{E,2n}(G_{\mathbb{Q}})]$ is *even* (for details, see [Ser72, pp. 310-311]) and in particular $\rho_E(G_{\mathbb{Q}}) \neq \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. Following Lang and Trotter, we make the following definition.

**Definition 1.4.** An elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is a *Serre curve* if $[\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : \rho_E(G_{\mathbb{Q}})] = 2$.

A Serre curve is thus an elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ for which $\rho_E(G_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is as large as possible. For a Serre curve $E/\mathbb{Q}$, the group $\rho_E(G_{\mathbb{Q}})$ can be described explicitly in terms of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$.

N. Jones [Jon06a] (building on work of Duke [Duk97]) has shown that "most" elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ are Serre curves. The analogue of Theorem 1.2 is then the following.

**Theorem 1.5** (Jones)**.** *There is a constant $\beta > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{|\{(a,b) \in B_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) : E(a,b) \text{ is not a Serre curve}\}|}{|B_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)|} \ll_{\|\cdot\|} \frac{(\log x)^\beta}{\sqrt{x}}.$$

*Remark* 1.6. Theorem 1.5 is a special case of [Jon06a, Theorem 4] and will be proven in §7.2. Unlike Jones' version, the constants in our proof will be effective.

1.3. **Overview of proof.** Suppose that $E$ is an elliptic curve over a number field $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$. There is an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \overset{\det}{\to} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times \to 1,$$

and the representation $\det \circ \rho_E \colon G_k \to \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ is the cyclotomic character $\chi_k$ of $k$. Therefore,

$$\rho_E(G_k) \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) = \rho_E(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}).$$

Thus the equality $\rho_E(G_k) = H_k$ is equivalent to $\rho_E(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. A group theoretic argument will show that this in turn is equivalent to having $\rho_{E,m}(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ whenever $m$ is equal to 4, 9, or a prime $\geq 5$.

For a prime $m = \ell \geq 5$, the condition $\rho_{E,\ell}(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ is equivalent to $\rho_{E,\ell}(G_k) \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$. By considering the Frobenius endomorphism for the reduction of $E$ modulo several primes $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k$, we can determine which conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ meet $\rho_{E,\ell}(G_k)$. Combining this modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ information together, we will use the large sieve to give an asymptotic upper bound for the growth of

$$|\{(a,b) \in B_k(x) : \rho_{E(a,b),\ell}(G_k) \not\supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})\}|$$

as a function of $x$; see §5. To understand the distribution of reductions modulo $\mathfrak{p}$, we will use a recent result of Jones; see §3. Of significant importance is a theorem of Masser and Wüstholz, which is needed to bound the number of $\ell$'s that must be considered.

The conditions at $m = 4$ or 9 are more involved. In particular, for $m = 4$ we will need to impose the condition that $\sqrt{\Delta}$ is not in the cyclotomic extension of $k$ (this avoids the obstruction of §1.2 that always occurs for $k = \mathbb{Q}$). In §6, we again use the large sieve to bound the number of $(a,b) \in B_k(x)$ for which $\sqrt{\Delta_{a,b}}$ (and $\sqrt[3]{\Delta_{a,b}}$ if $\mu_3 \subseteq k$) lie in the cyclotomic extension of $k$.

Our main theorems will then be deduced in §7.

**Acknowledgments.** Many thanks to Bjorn Poonen for his careful reading of this paper and helpful comments. Thanks also to Aaron Greicius and Nathan Jones.

**Notation and conventions.** For each field $k$, let $\overline{k}$ be an algebraic closure of $k$ and let $G_k := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ be the absolute Galois group of $k$. For each integer $n \geq 1$, let $\mu_n$ be the group of $n$-th roots of unity in $\overline{k}$. Let $k^{\mathrm{cyc}}$ (resp. $k^{\mathrm{ab}}$) be the cyclotomic (resp. maximal abelian) extension of $k$ in $\overline{k}$.

For a number field $k$, denote its ring of integers by $\mathcal{O}_k$. Let $\Sigma_k$ be the set of non-zero prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_k$. For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_k$, we have a residue field $\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p} = \mathcal{O}_k/\mathfrak{p}$; let $N(\mathfrak{p})$ be its cardinality. Let $\Sigma_k(x)$ be the set of primes $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\Sigma_k$ with $N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x$. Let $\mathrm{ord}_\mathfrak{p} \colon k^\times \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the surjective discrete valuation corresponding to $\mathfrak{p}$. Denote the absolute discriminant of $k$ by $d_k$.

Fix a group $G$. Let $G'$ be the derived subgroup of $G$, i.e., the minimal normal subgroup of $G$ for which $G/G'$ is abelian. Equivalently, $G'$ is the group generated by the set $\{xyx^{-1}y^{-1} : x, y \in G\}$. The abelianization of $G$ is $G^{\mathrm{ab}} := G/G'$. Profinite groups will always be considered with their profinite topologies.

For $a, b$ in a field $k$, define $\Delta_{a,b} = -16(4a^3 + 27b^2)$. If $\Delta_{a,b} \neq 0$, then let $E(a,b)$ be the elliptic curve over $k$ defined by the Weierstrass equation $Y^2 = X^3 + aX + b$.

Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are real valued functions of a real variable $x$. By $f \ll g$ (or $g \gg f$), we mean that there are positive constants $C_1$ and $C_2$ such that for all $x \geq C_1$, $|f(x)| \leq C_2|g(x)|$. We use $O(f)$ to represent an unspecified function $g$ with $g \ll f$. The dependencies of the implied constants will always be indicated by subscripts. Also, all implicit constants occurring in this paper are effective.

Finally, the symbols $\ell$ and $p$ will always denote rational primes.

## 2. Criterion for maximal Galois action

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over a number field $k$, and let $\Delta$ be the discriminant of a Weierstrass model of $E$ over $k$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

(a) $\rho_{E,\ell}(G_k) \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ *for every prime* $\ell \geq 5$,
(b) $\rho_{E,4}(G_k) \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ *and* $\rho_{E,9}(G_k) \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$,
(c) $\sqrt{\Delta} \notin k^{\mathrm{cyc}}$,
(d) $\mu_3 \not\subseteq k$ *or* $\sqrt[3]{\Delta} \notin k^{\mathrm{cyc}}$.

*Then* $\rho_E(G_k) = H_k$.

*Remark* 2.2.

(i) The image of $\Delta$ in $k^\times/(k^\times)^{12}$ depends only on the isomorphism class of $E/k$. Thus for a positive integer $r$ dividing 12, the $r$-th root of $\Delta$, up to a factor in $\mu_r \cdot k^\times$, is independent of all choices. In particular, conditions (c) and (d) are well-defined.
(ii) The Kronecker-Weber theorem says that $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{cyc}} = \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}}$, so condition (c) *never* holds for $k = \mathbb{Q}$.

Since $\det \circ \rho_E \colon G_k \to \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ is the cyclotomic character of $k$, we find that $\rho_E(G_k) = H_k$ if and only if $\rho_E(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. Applying Lemma A.7 to $\rho_E(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}})$, we have $\rho_E(G_k) = H_k$ if and only if $\rho_{E,m}(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ holds whenever $m$ is 4, 9, or a prime $\geq 5$. Proposition 2.1 is then an immediate consequence of the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over a number field $k$ with discriminant $\Delta \in k^\times/(k^\times)^{12}$.*

(i) *Let $\ell \geq 5$ be a prime. If $\rho_{E,\ell}(G_k) \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$, then $\rho_{E,\ell}(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$.*
(ii) *If $\rho_{E,4}(G_k) \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ and $\sqrt{\Delta} \notin k^{\mathrm{cyc}}$, then $\rho_{E,4}(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$.*
(iii) *If $\rho_{E,9}(G_k) \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$ and $\sqrt[3]{\Delta} \notin k^{\mathrm{cyc}}$, then $\rho_{E,9}(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$.*
(iv) *If $\rho_{E,9}(G_k) \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mu_3 \not\subseteq k$, then $\rho_{E,9}(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$.*

*Proof.* Let $m$ be a positive integer such that $\rho_{E,m}(G_k) \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$. Since $k^{\mathrm{cyc}}$ is an abelian extension of $k$, we have inclusions

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})' \subseteq \rho_{E,m}(G_k)' \subseteq \rho_{E,m}(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}). \tag{2.1}$$

(i) Suppose that $m = \ell \geq 5$ is prime. By Lemma A.1 we have $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})' = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$, so from (2.1) we deduce that $\rho_{E,\ell}(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$.
(ii) Our assumption $\rho_{E,4}(G_k) \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ implies that $\rho_{E,4}(G_{k(\mu_4)}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$. Thus to prove $\rho_{E,4}(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$, it suffices to show that $k(E[4]) \cap k^{\mathrm{cyc}} = k(\mu_4)$.

In [LT76, Part III §11], it is shown that $\sqrt[4]{\Delta}$ is an element of $k(E[4])$. Using $\sqrt{\Delta} \notin k(\mu_4)$, one finds that $k(\mu_4, \sqrt[4]{\Delta}) \subseteq k(E[4])$ is an abelian extension of $k(\mu_4)$ of degree 4. By Lemma A.1 the group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is cyclic of order 4, so $k(E[4]) \cap k(\mu_4)^{\mathrm{ab}} = k(\mu_4, \sqrt[4]{\Delta})$. Therefore

$$k(E[4]) \cap k^{\mathrm{cyc}} = (k(E[4]) \cap k(\mu_4)^{\mathrm{ab}}) \cap k^{\mathrm{cyc}} = k(\mu_4, \sqrt[4]{\Delta}) \cap k^{\mathrm{cyc}} = k(\mu_4), \tag{2.2}$$

where the last equality uses $\sqrt{\Delta} \notin k^{\mathrm{cyc}}$.
(iii) The assumption $\rho_{E,9}(G_k) \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$ implies that $\rho_{E,9}(G_{k(\mu_9)}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$. By Lemma A.1, the group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{ab}}$ has order 3.

Note that $\sqrt[3]{\Delta}$ is an element of $k(E[3])$ (see [Ade01, Proposition 5.4.3] for example). Arguing as in part (ii), we find that $k(E[9]) \cap k(\mu_9)^{\mathrm{ab}} = k(\mu_9, \sqrt[3]{\Delta})$. Since $\sqrt[3]{\Delta} \notin k^{\mathrm{cyc}}$, we deduce that $k(E[9]) \cap k^{\mathrm{cyc}} = k(\mu_9)$, and hence $\rho_{E,9}(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$.
(iv) The assumptions imply that $\rho_{E,3}(G_k) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$. One readily checks that $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})' = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$, and thus $\rho_{E,3}(G_k)' = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$. Using (2.1), with $m = 3$, gives $\rho_{E,3}(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$.

By Lemma A.1, the group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{ab}}$ has order 3. So from (2.1), with $m = 9$, we find that $\rho_{E,9}(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}})$ is either $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})'$ or $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$. If $\rho_{E,9}(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})'$, then Lemma A.1 implies that $\rho_{E,3}(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) \neq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, $\rho_{E,9}(G_{k^{\mathrm{cyc}}}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$. □

We now state a criterion that applies to $k = \mathbb{Q}$.

**Lemma 2.4** (Jones)**.** *Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ which satisfies the following properties:*

(a) $\rho_{E,\ell}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}) \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ *for every prime* $\ell \geq 5$,
(b) $\rho_{E,72}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}) \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/72\mathbb{Z})$.

*Then $E$ is a Serre curve.*

*Proof.* For each $m \geq 1$, we have $\rho_{E,m}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $\rho_{E,m}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}) \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$. The lemma is now a special case of [Jon06a, Lemma 5]. □

## 3. Elliptic curve over finite fields

Fix a positive integer $m$ and a prime $p \nmid m$. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over the field $\mathbb{F}_p$. As before, one has a Galois representation $\rho_{E,m}\colon \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$, which arises from the Galois action on the $m$-torsion of $E$.

Let $\mathrm{Frob}_p \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the $p$-th power Frobenius automorphism. For a subset $C$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ stable under conjugation, define the set

$$\Omega_C(p) := \left\{(r,s) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 : \Delta_{r,s} \neq 0,\ \rho_{E(r,s),m}(\mathrm{Frob}_p) \in C\right\}.$$

The following theorem gives a good estimate on the cardinality of this set.

**Theorem 3.1** (Jones)**.** *Fix a positive integer $m$ and a conjugacy class $C$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$. Let $d$ be the element of $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ such that $\det(C) = \{d\}$. Then for all primes $p$ with $p \equiv d \bmod m$,*

$$\frac{|\Omega_C(p)|}{p^2} = \frac{|C|}{|\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})|} + O\left(\frac{m^5}{p^{1/2}}\right).$$

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 8 (and Theorem 7) of [Jon06a]. A key ingredient is a generalization of results of Hurwitz, see [Jon06b]. □

## 4. The large sieve

Let $K$ be a number field, $\Lambda$ a free $\mathcal{O}_K$-module of rank $n$, and $\|\cdot\|$ a norm on $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$. Fix a subset $Y$ of $\Lambda$. Let $x \geq 1$ and $Q > 0$ be real numbers. For every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_K$, let $\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a real number in the interval $[0, 1)$. Assume the following conditions hold:

(1) The set $Y$ is contained in a ball of radius $x$; i.e., there is an $a_0 \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\|a - a_0\| \leq x$ for all $a \in Y$.
(2) For every $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_K(Q)$, the image $Y_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $Y$ in $\Lambda/\mathfrak{p}\Lambda$ by reduction modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ satisfies

$$|Y_{\mathfrak{p}}| \leq (1 - \omega_{\mathfrak{p}})|\Lambda/\mathfrak{p}\Lambda|.$$

**Theorem 4.1** (Large sieve, [Ser97, §12.1])**.** *With assumptions as above, we have*

$$|Y| \ll_{K,\Lambda,\|\cdot\|} \frac{x^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]n} + Q^{2n}}{L(Q)}$$

*where*

$$L(Q) := \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \text{ squarefree} \\ N(\mathfrak{a}) \leq Q}} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{a}} \frac{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}}{1 - \omega_{\mathfrak{p}}}.$$

*Remark* 4.2. We will apply the large sieve with $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}_k^2$ and $\|\cdot\|$ our fixed norm on $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_k^2$. In §5 and §6, we will take $K$ to be $k$ and $\mathbb{Q}$, respectively.

## 5. Most elliptic curves have large $\ell$-adic Galois images

Throughout this section, fix a number field $k$.

**Definition 5.1.** For each positive integer $m$, define the set

$$B_{k,m}(x) := \{(a,b) \in B_k(x) : \rho_{E(a,b),m}(G_k) \not\supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})\}.$$

The main goal of this section is to prove the following bound.

**Proposition 5.2.** *There is an absolute constant $\beta \geq 1$ such that*

$$\frac{|B_{k,4}(x) \cup B_{k,9}(x) \cup \bigcup_{\ell \geq 5} B_{k,\ell}(x)|}{|B_k(x)|} \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} \frac{(\log x)^\beta}{x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}}.$$

*Remark* 5.3. For an elliptic curve $E$ over $k$, we have Galois representations $\rho_{E,\ell^\infty} \colon G_k \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ coming from the action on the $\ell$-power torsion. Proposition 5.2 (with Lemma A.2) shows that for a "random" elliptic curve $E$ over $k$, we have $\rho_{E,\ell^\infty}(G_k) \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ for all primes $\ell$. Since $\det \circ \rho_{E,\ell^\infty} \colon G_k \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$ is the $\ell$-adic cyclotomic character of $k$, we find that $\rho_{E,\ell^\infty}(G_k)$ is as "large as possible" for all $\ell$.

*Remark* 5.4. Our proof of Proposition 5.2 is clearly based on Duke's paper [Duk97], which proves the $k = \mathbb{Q}$ case (with Jones [Jon06a] handling 4 and 9).

Unlike Duke's result, the implicit constants in Proposition 5.2 are effective. The source of non-effective constants in [Duk97] is the use of the Siegel-Walfisz theorem. We avoid this by applying the pigeonhole principle in the proof of Lemma 5.10 and then sieving only by conjugacy classes with a fixed determinant.

### 5.1. Sieving elliptic curves by Frobenius conjugacy classes.

For a positive integer $m$ and a conjugacy class $C$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$, define the set

$$Y_C(x) := \{(a,b) \in B_k(x) : \rho_{E(a,b),m}(G_k) \cap C = \emptyset\}.$$

For $d \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$, let $\Sigma_k^1(Q; d, m)$ be the set of $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_k(Q)$ with degree 1 (i.e., $N(\mathfrak{p})$ prime) and $N(\mathfrak{p}) \equiv d \bmod m$.

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let $m$ be a positive integer and $C$ a conjugacy class of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$. Let $d$ be the unique element of $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ such that $\det(C) = \{d\}$, and assume that $d \in \chi_k(G_k) \bmod m \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Then*

$$\frac{|Y_C(x)|}{|B_k(x)|} \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} \left( \frac{|C|}{|\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})|} |\Sigma_k^1(x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}; d, m)| + O_k(m^5 x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/4}) \right)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Let $\Lambda$ be the $\mathcal{O}_k$-module $\mathcal{O}_k^2$. We have already chosen a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\Lambda_\mathbb{R} := \mathbb{R} \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathcal{O}_k^2$, and the set $B_k(x) \subseteq \Lambda_\mathbb{R}$ lies in a ball of radius $x$. Let $Q := x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}$.

For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_k^1(Q; d, m)$, define

$$\Omega_\mathfrak{p} = \{(r,s) \in \mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}^2 : \Delta_{r,s} \neq 0,\ \rho_{E(r,s),m}(\mathrm{Frob}_{N(\mathfrak{p})}) \in C\}$$

and $\omega_\mathfrak{p} = |\Omega_\mathfrak{p}|/N(\mathfrak{p})^2$. Let $Y_\mathfrak{p}$ be the image of $Y_C(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}^2$ via reduction modulo $\mathfrak{p}$.

Suppose that $(a,b) \in B_k(x)$ satisfies $(a,b) \bmod \mathfrak{p} \in \Omega_\mathfrak{p}$; then $E(a,b)$ has good reduction at $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\rho_{E(a,b),m}(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq C$. So $\rho_{E(a,b),m}(G_k) \cap C \neq \emptyset$, and thus $(a,b) \notin Y_C(x)$. This shows that

$$Y_\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_\mathfrak{p}^2 - \Omega_\mathfrak{p},$$

and hence $|Y_\mathfrak{p}| \leq (1 - \omega_\mathfrak{p})|\Lambda/\mathfrak{p}\Lambda|$.

For $\mathfrak{p} \notin \Sigma_k^1(Q;d,m)$, define $\omega_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. By the large sieve (Theorem 4.1),

$$|Y_C(x)| \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} \frac{x^{2[k:\mathbb{Q}]}}{L(Q)}, \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$L(Q) := \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{a}\subseteq\mathcal{O}_k \text{ squarefree} \\ N(\mathfrak{a})\le Q}} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\mid\mathfrak{a}} \frac{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}}{1-\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}} \ge \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Sigma_k^1(Q;d,m)} \omega_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

For $\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_k^1(Q;d,m)$, Theorem 3.1 gives

$$\omega_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{|C|}{|\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})|} + O(m^5/N(\mathfrak{p})^{1/2}).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} L(Q) &\ge \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in\Sigma_k^1(Q;d,m)} \left( \frac{|C|}{|\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})|} + O(m^5/N(\mathfrak{p})^{1/2}) \right) \\ &= \frac{|C|}{|\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})|} |\Sigma_k^1(Q;d,m)| + O_k(m^5 Q^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

The assumption $d \in \chi_k(G_k) \bmod m$ is needed to guarantees that $L(Q) \gg_{k,m} 1$. The proposition follows by combining our lower bound of $L(Q)$ and (1.1) with (5.1). □

5.2. **Galois image modulo an integer.** The following proposition shows that for a "random" elliptic curve $E$ over $k$, $\rho_{E,m}$ has large image.

**Proposition 5.6.** *For a positive integer $m$,*

$$\frac{|B_{k,m}(x)|}{|B_k(x)|} \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|,m} \frac{\log x}{x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}}.$$

*Proof.* Let $C_1, \dots, C_n$ be the conjugacy classes of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ with determinant 1. By Lemma A.9, we have $B_{k,m}(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_{C_i}(x)$. Proposition 5.5 gives

$$\frac{|B_{k,m}(x)|}{|B_k(x)|} \le \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|Y_{C_i}(x)|}{|B_k(x)|} \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{|C_i|}{|\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})|} |\Sigma_k^1(x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2};1,m)| + O_k(m^5 x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/4}) \right)^{-1}.$$

Using $|\Sigma_k^1(x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2};1,m)| \gg_{k,m} x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}/\log x$, we deduce that

$$\frac{|B_{k,m}(x)|}{|B_k(x)|} \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|,m} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}/\log x\right)^{-1} \ll_m \frac{\log x}{x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}}.$$ □

5.3. **Galois image modulo primes.** Let $h$ be the absolute logarithmic height on $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. For an elliptic curve $E$, let $j(E)$ be its $j$-invariant. The following theorem bounds the number of $\ell$'s that we need to consider (in particular, it gives an effective version of a result of Serre [Ser72]).

**Theorem 5.7** (Masser-Wüstholz [MW93])**.** *Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over a number field $k$, and assume that $E$ does not have complex multiplication. There are positive absolute constants $c$ and $\gamma$ such that if $\ell > c\big(\max\{[k:\mathbb{Q}], h(j(E))\}\big)^{\gamma}$, then $\rho_{E,\ell}(G_k) \supseteq \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$.*

**Lemma 5.8.** *If $(a,b) \in B_k(x)$, then $h(j(E(a,b))) \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} \log x$.*

*Proof.* Let $\Sigma_k^\infty$ be the set of archimedean places of $k$. For each $v \in \Sigma_k^\infty$, let $|\cdot|_v$ be an absolute value on the completion $k_v$ of $k$ at $v$. On $\prod_{v\in\Sigma_k^\infty} k_v^2$, we have a norm $\|(a_v,b_v)_v\|_1 = \sup_{v\in\Sigma_k^\infty}|a_v|_v + \sup_{v\in\Sigma_k^\infty}|b_v|_v$. Using the natural isomorphism $\mathbb{R}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{O}_k^2 \cong \prod_{v\in\Sigma_k^\infty} k_v^2$, we may view $\|\cdot\|_1$ as a norm on $\mathbb{R}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{O}_k^2$. Recall that $j(E(a,b)) = -1728(4a)^3/\Delta_{a,b}$. Since $a$ and $b$ are integral, we have

$$h(j(E(a,b))) = h([-1728(4a)^3 : \Delta_{a,b}]) \ll_k \sum\nolimits_{v\in\Sigma_k^\infty} \log(\max\{1728\cdot 4^3|a|_v^3, |\Delta_{a,b}|_v\})$$

and thus $h(j(E(a,b))) \ll_k \log\|(a,b)\|_1$. The norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ are equivalent, so

$$h(j(E(a,b))) \ll_k \log\|(a,b)\|_1 \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} \log\|(a,b)\| \le \log x. \qquad \square$$

**Lemma 5.9.** *There is a constant $c_k > 0$ (depending only on $k$) and an absolute constant $\gamma > 0$ such that*

$$\big\{(a,b)\in B_k(x) : \rho_{E(a,b),\ell}(G_k) \not\supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) \text{ for some prime } \ell \ge 5\big\} = \bigcup_{5\le\ell\le c_k(\log x)^\gamma} B_{k,\ell}(x).$$

*Proof.* For an elliptic curve $E/k$ with complex multiplication, we have $\rho_{E,\ell}(G_k) \not\supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ for every prime $\ell \ge 5$. The lemma follows by combining Theorem 5.7 and Lemma 5.8. $\square$

**Lemma 5.10.** *Assume that $5 \le \ell \le c_k(\log x)^\gamma$, where $c_k$ and $\gamma$ are the constants from Lemma 5.9. Then*

$$\frac{|B_{k,\ell}(x)|}{|B_k(x)|} \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} \frac{(\log x)^{7\gamma+1}}{x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}}.$$

*Proof.* We may assume that $\ell$ satisfies $k\cap\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell) = \mathbb{Q}$ (this excludes only a finite number of $\ell$, which can be handled with Proposition 5.6). Define the set $\Sigma_k^1(x) := \{\mathfrak{p}\in\Sigma_k(x) : N(\mathfrak{p}) \text{ is prime}\}$. By the pigeonhole principle, there is an element $d \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times = \chi_k(G_k) \bmod \ell$ such that

$$|\Sigma_k^1(x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}; d, \ell)| \ge \frac{1}{\ell-1}|\Sigma_k^1(x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2})| + O_k(1) \gg_k \frac{1}{\ell-1}x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}/\log x.$$

Let $C_1,\dots,C_n$ be the conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ with $\det(C_i) = d$. Combining Lemma A.8 and Proposition 5.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|B_{k,\ell}(x)|}{|B_k(x)|} \le \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|Y_{C_i}(x)|}{|B_k(x)|} &\ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{|C_i|}{|\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})|}|\Sigma_k^1(x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2};d,\ell)| + O_k(\ell^5 x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/4})\right)^{-1} \\ &\ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{|C_i|}{|\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})|}x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}/\log x + O_k(\ell^5 x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/4})\right)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

The bounds $n \le \ell^3$, $1 \le |C_i|$, and $\ell \le c_k(\log x)^\gamma$ imply

$$\frac{|B_{k,\ell}(x)|}{|B_k(x)|} \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} n\ell^4\frac{\log x}{x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}} \ll_k \frac{(\log x)^{7\gamma+1}}{x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}}. \qquad \square$$

5.4. **Proof of Proposition 5.2.** Using Lemmas 5.9 and 5.10, we obtain the following bounds:

$$\frac{|\bigcup_{\ell\ge5} B_{k,\ell}(x)|}{|B_k(x)|} \le \sum_{5\le\ell\le c_k(\log x)^\gamma}\frac{|B_{k,\ell}(x)|}{|B_k(x)|} \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} \sum_{5\le\ell\le c_k(\log x)^\gamma}\frac{(\log x)^{7\gamma+1}}{x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}} \ll_k \frac{(\log x)^{8\gamma+1}}{x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}}.$$

By Proposition 5.6 (with $m = 4$ and 9), we have

$$\frac{|B_{k,4}(x)\cup B_{k,9}(x)|}{|B_k(x)|} \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} \frac{\log x}{x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}}.$$

The proposition follows immediately with $\beta = 8\gamma+1$.

## 6. Discriminants

**Proposition 6.1.** *Fix a number field $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$, an integer $r \geq 2$, and assume that $k$ contains $\mu_r$. Then*

$$\frac{|\{(a,b) \in B_k(x) : \sqrt[r]{\Delta_{a,b}} \in k^{\mathrm{cyc}}\}|}{|B_k(x)|} \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|,r} \frac{\log x}{\sqrt{x}}.$$

*Remark* 6.2. From Proposition 6.1, we find that conditions (c) and (d) of Proposition 2.1 hold for "most" elliptic curves over a fixed number field $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$.

For the rest of this section, we shall fix $k$ and $r$ as in Proposition 6.1. Let $d = [k : \mathbb{Q}]$. Let $S$ be the finite set of rational primes which satisfies the following conditions with minimal value $\prod_{p \in S} p$;

- $S$ contains the primes dividing $6r$,
- $S$ contains the primes that are ramified in $k$,
- $\mathcal{O}_S$ is a principal ideal domain, where $\mathcal{O}_S$ is the ring of $S'$-integers of $k$ and $S' = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma_k : \mathfrak{p}|p, \text{ for some } p \in S\}$.

Note that the above choice of $S$ depends only on $k$ and $r$.

**Lemma 6.3.** *Fix a prime $p \notin S$, an element $\Delta \in k^\times$, and let $\mathfrak{P}_1 \ldots, \mathfrak{P}_n$ be the prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{k(\sqrt[r]{\Delta})}$ lying over $p$. If $\sqrt[r]{\Delta} \in k^{\mathrm{cyc}}$, then*

$$e(\mathfrak{P}_1/p) = \cdots = e(\mathfrak{P}_n/p),$$

*where $e(\mathfrak{P}_i/p)$ is the ramification index of $\mathfrak{P}_i$ over $p$.*

*Proof.* Since $\sqrt[r]{\Delta} \in k^{\mathrm{cyc}} = \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{cyc}} \cdot k$, one can show that there is a field $L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{cyc}}$ such that $k(\sqrt[r]{\Delta}) = L \cdot k$. Since $p$ is unramified in $k$, we find that $e(\mathfrak{P}_i/p) = e(\mathfrak{P}_i \cap \mathcal{O}_L/p)$. The value $e(\mathfrak{P}_i \cap \mathcal{O}_L/p)$ is independent of $i$, since $L$ is a Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. □

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_S^\times$ be a set of representatives for the cosets of $\mathcal{O}_S^\times/(\mathcal{O}_S^\times)^r$. Then for any $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_k$ with $\sqrt[r]{\Delta} \in k^{\mathrm{cyc}}$, there are $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_S$, and $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\Delta = m\alpha^r\beta$.*

*Proof.* Fix $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_k$ with $\sqrt[r]{\Delta} \in k^{\mathrm{cyc}}$. We first show that $\Delta$ can be written in the form $m\alpha^r\beta$, for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_S$, and $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_S^\times$. We may assume that $\Delta$ is non-zero. Since $\mathcal{O}_S$ is a principal ideal domain, there is an element $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_S$ such that $0 \leq \mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta/\alpha^r) < r$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S'$.

Take any prime $p \notin S$ and let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_g$ be the prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_S$ lying over $p$. Suppose that some $\mathfrak{p}_i$ divides $\Delta/\alpha^r$ in $\mathcal{O}_S$. Since $0 < \mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta/\alpha^r) < r$, we deduce that the extension $k(\sqrt[r]{\Delta})/k$ is ramified at $\mathfrak{p}_i$. By Lemma 6.3, we find that $k(\sqrt[r]{\Delta})/k$ is ramified at all the primes $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_g$, and hence $p\mathcal{O}_S = \mathfrak{p}_1 \ldots \mathfrak{p}_g$ divides $\Delta/\alpha^r$ in $\mathcal{O}_S$. Dividing by $p$ and repeating the above process, we find that there is an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $\beta := \Delta/(m\alpha^r)$ is an element of $\mathcal{O}_S^\times$. We may assume that $\beta$ is in $\mathcal{B}$ after multiplying $\alpha$ by an appropriate element of $\mathcal{O}_S^\times$. □

For each $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_S^\times$, define the sets

$$W_\beta := \{(a,b) \in \mathcal{O}_k^2 : \Delta_{a,b} = m\alpha^r\beta, \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{Z},\ \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_S\}$$

and $W_\beta(x) := W_\beta \cap B_k(x)$. For a set $\mathcal{B}$ as in Lemma 6.4, we have

$$\{(a,b) \in B_k(x) : \sqrt[r]{\Delta_{a,b}} \in k^{\mathrm{cyc}}\} \subseteq \bigcup_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} W_\beta(x).$$

The set $\mathcal{B}$ is finite (since the abelian group $\mathcal{O}_S^\times$ is finitely generated), so

$$|\{(a,b) \in B_k(x) : \sqrt[r]{\Delta_{a,b}} \in k^{\mathrm{cyc}}\}| \ll_{k,r} \max_{\beta \in \mathcal{O}_S^\times} |W_\beta(x)|. \tag{6.1}$$

Thus to prove Proposition 6.1, it suffices to find bounds for the functions $|W_\beta(x)|$.

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let $p \nmid 6$ be a prime with $p \equiv 1 \bmod r$. For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$,*

$$|\{(a,b) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 : \Delta_{a,b} = \gamma c^r, \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{F}_p\}| = \frac{1}{r}p^2 + O_r(p^{3/2}).$$

*Proof.* Fix $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$. The equation $\Delta_{a,b} = \gamma c^r$ defines a geometrically irreducible variety $X$ in $\mathbb{A}^3_{\mathbb{F}_p} = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p[a,b,c])$. Using the Weil conjectures, we find that

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_p)| = p^2 + O_r(p^{3/2}) \tag{6.2}$$

(that the implicit constant in (6.2) depends only on $r$ can be deduced from [Bom78]).

For fixed $(a,b) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2$, if $\Delta_{a,b} = \gamma c^r$ has a solution $c \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, then it has exactly $r$ such solutions (this uses the assumption $p \equiv 1 \bmod r$). Most solutions have $c \neq 0$, since $|\{(a,b) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 : \Delta_{a,b} = 0\}| \ll p$. The lemma is now immediate. □

**Lemma 6.6.** *Take any $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_S^\times$. Let $p \notin S$ be a prime that splits completely in $k$, and let $W_{\beta,p}$ be the image of $W_\beta$ in $\mathcal{O}_k^2/p\mathcal{O}_k^2$. Then*

$$|W_{\beta,p}| \leq \Big(\frac{1}{r^{d-1}} + O_{r,d}(p^{-1/2})\Big)|\mathcal{O}_k^2/p\mathcal{O}_k^2|.$$

*Proof.* Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_d \in \Sigma_k$ be the prime ideals lying over $p$. By the Chinese remainder theorem, we have a natural identification $\mathcal{O}_k/p\mathcal{O}_k = \prod_{i=1}^d \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$. Then

$$W_{\beta,p} \subseteq \bigcup_{m \in R} \prod_{i=1}^d \big\{(a,b) \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}^2 : \Delta_{a,b} = m\alpha^r \cdot (\beta \bmod \mathfrak{p}_i), \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}\big\},$$

where the union is over a set of coset representatives $R \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ of $\mathbb{F}_p^\times/(\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^r$. We have $p \equiv 1 \bmod r$, since $p$ splits completely in $k$ and by assumption $\mu_r \subseteq k$. By Lemma 6.5,

$$|W_{\beta,p}| \leq |R|\big(p^2/r + O_r(p^{3/2})\big)^d = p^{2d}/r^{d-1} + O_{r,d}(p^{2d-1/2}).$$ □

**Lemma 6.7.** *For $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_S^\times$, $|W_\beta(x)| \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|,r} |B_k(x)|(\log x)/\sqrt{x}$.*

*Proof.* Let $I$ be the set of primes $p \notin S$ that split completely in $k$. By Lemma 6.6, for each prime $p \in I$, we have $|W_\beta(x) \bmod p\mathcal{O}_k^2| \leq (1-\omega_p)|\mathcal{O}_k^2/p\mathcal{O}_k^2|$, where $\omega_p = 1 - 1/r^{d-1} + O_{r,d}(p^{-1/2})$. For $p \notin I$, set $\omega_p = 0$.

We may now apply the large sieve. By Theorem 4.1 (with $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}_k^2$, $Q = \sqrt{x}$, and our chosen norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$), we have $|W_\beta(x)| \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} x^{2d}/L(\sqrt{x})$, where

$$L(\sqrt{x}) := \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq I \text{ finite} \\ \prod_{p\in J} p \leq \sqrt{x}}} \prod_{p \in J} \frac{\omega_p}{1-\omega_p}.$$

Using $r \geq 2$ and $d \geq 2$ (since $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$), we have the bound

$$L(\sqrt{x}) \geq \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq I \text{ finite} \\ \prod_{p\in J} p \leq \sqrt{x}}} \prod_{p \in J} \Big(1 + O_{r,d}(p^{-1/2})\Big) \geq \sum_{\substack{p \in I \\ p \leq \sqrt{x}}} \Big(1 + O_{r,d}(p^{-1/2})\Big).$$

The set $I$ has positive density in the primes, so $L(\sqrt{x}) \gg_{r,k} \sqrt{x}/\log x$. The lemma follows by using this bound for $L(\sqrt{x})$ and (1.1) with our upper bound for $|W_\beta(x)|$. □

*Proof of Proposition 6.1.* Apply Lemma 6.7 to the bound (6.1). □

## 7. Elliptic curves with maximal Galois action

7.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Define the sets

$$Y_1(x) = B_{k,4}(x) \cup B_{k,9}(x) \cup \bigcup\nolimits_{\ell \geq 5} B_{k,\ell}(x),$$
$$Y_2(x) = \{(a,b) \in B_k(x) : \sqrt{\Delta_{a,b}} \in k^{\mathrm{cyc}}\},$$
$$Y_3(x) = \{(a,b) \in B_k(x) : \mu_3 \subseteq k \text{ and } \sqrt[3]{\Delta_{a,b}} \in k^{\mathrm{cyc}}\}.$$

By Proposition 2.1, we have $\{(a,b) \in B_k(x) : \rho_{E(a,b)}(G_k) \neq H_k\} \subseteq Y_1(x) \cup Y_2(x) \cup Y_3(x)$, and thus

$$|\{(a,b) \in B_k(x) : \rho_{E(a,b)}(G_k) \neq H_k\}| \leq |Y_1(x)| + |Y_2(x)| + |Y_3(x)|.$$

By Proposition 5.2, we have $|Y_1(x)|/|B_k(x)| \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} (\log x)^\beta / x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}$, where $\beta \geq 1$ is an absolute constant. By Proposition 6.1, we have

$$\frac{|Y_2(x)|}{|B_k(x)|} \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} \frac{\log x}{\sqrt{x}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|Y_3(x)|}{|B_k(x)|} \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} \frac{\log x}{\sqrt{x}}.$$

Combining everything together gives:

$$\frac{|\{(a,b) \in B_k(x) : \rho_{E(a,b)}(G_k) \neq H_k\}|}{|B_k(x)|} \ll_{k,\|\cdot\|} \max\left\{\frac{(\log x)^\beta}{x^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2}}, \frac{\log x}{\sqrt{x}}\right\} \ll \frac{\log x}{\sqrt{x}},$$

where the last bound uses $k \neq \mathbb{Q}$.

7.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** The theorem is easily deduced by combining the criterion of Lemma 2.4 with Proposition 5.2 and Proposition 5.6 (with $m = 72$).

## Appendix A. Group theory for $\mathrm{SL}_2$

In this appendix, we collect several basic facts about the groups $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$. We will need to pay special attention to the primes 2 and 3.

A.1. **Abelianizations.**

**Lemma A.1.** *Let $m$ be a positive integer, and define $b := \gcd(m, 12)$. Reduction modulo $b$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{ab}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/b\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{ab}}$. The group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is cyclic of order $b$.*

*Proof.* It is well-known that the group $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\}$ has a presentation $\langle A, B : A^2 = 1, B^3 = 1\rangle$, thus $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is a cyclic group of order 6. Under the quotient map, $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})'$ surjects on to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})'$, so $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{ab}}$ has order 6 or 12.

For each positive integer $m$, reduction modulo $m$ gives a surjective homomorphism $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ (see [Shi94, Lemma 1.38]). We leave it to the reader to verify that the groups $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{ab}}$, $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{ab}}$ are cyclic of order 2, 3 and 4 respectively. We deduce that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is cyclic of order 12 and that reduction modulo 12 induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{ab}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{ab}}$. The lemma is easily deduced from this isomorphism. □

A.2. **Reductions.**

**Lemma A.2.** *Let $\ell$ be a prime, $n \geq 1$ an integer, and $H$ a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})$.*

(i) *If $\ell \geq 5$ and the image of $H$ modulo $\ell$ is $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$, then $H = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})$.*
(ii) *If $n \geq 2$ and the image of $H$ modulo $\ell^2$ is $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^2\mathbb{Z})$, then $H = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})$.*

*Proof.* Part (i) is due to Serre, see [Ser98, IV-23 Lemma 3]. We now prove (ii). By induction, it suffices to show that for each $r \geq 2$, no *proper* subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{r+1}\mathbb{Z})$ reduces modulo $\ell^r$ to the full group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^r\mathbb{Z})$. Let $G$ be any subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{r+1}\mathbb{Z})$ such that $G \bmod \ell^r = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^r\mathbb{Z})$. It suffices to show that $G$ contains the abelian group $\mathfrak{s} := \{A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{r+1}\mathbb{Z}) : A \equiv I \bmod \ell^r\}$.

The group $\mathfrak{s}$ has a natural structure as a $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$-module; i.e., conjugate by any lift to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{r+1}\mathbb{Z})$. As an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$-module, $\mathfrak{s}$ is generated by

$$I + \ell^r \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad I + \ell^r \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

Since $G \bmod \ell = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$, we find that $G \cap \mathfrak{s}$ is a $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$-submodule of $\mathfrak{s}$. Take any $B \in \{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\}$. We shall now show that $I + \ell^r B \in G$, which will complete the proof of (ii). By assumption, there exists a $g \in G$ such that $g \equiv I + \ell^{r-1}B \bmod \ell^r$. Taking $\ell$-th powers, and using $r \geq 2$ and $B^2 = 0$, we find that $I + \ell^r B = g^\ell \in G$. □

*Remark* A.3. Lemma A.2(i) is not true for $\ell = 2$ and 3 (see [Ser98, IV-28 Exercises 2 and 3]).

A.3. **Goursat's lemma.** For a finite group $G$, let $\mathcal{J}(G)$ be the set of non-abelian simple groups, up to isomorphism, which occur in some/any composition series of $G$.

**Lemma A.4** (Goursat's lemma)**.** *Let $G_1, \ldots, G_n$ be finite groups, and assume that for each $i \neq j$, $\mathcal{J}(G_i) \cap \mathcal{J}(G_j) = \emptyset$ and $\gcd(|G_i^{\mathrm{ab}}|, |G_j^{\mathrm{ab}}|) = 1$. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ such that $\mathrm{pr}_i(H) = G_i$ for every projection $\mathrm{pr}_i \colon G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n \to G_i$. Then $H = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$.*

*Proof.* By induction, we may reduce to the case $n = 2$. Define $N_1 = \mathrm{pr}_1(H \cap (G_1 \times \{1\}))$ and $N_2 = \mathrm{pr}_2(H \cap (\{1\} \times G_2))$ which are normal subgroups of $G_1$ and $G_2$ respectively. The image of $H$ in $G_1/N_1 \times G_2/N_2$ is the graph of an isomorphism

$$G_1/N_1 \cong G_2/N_2; \tag{A.1}$$

this fact is usually called *Goursat's lemma* (see [Rib76, Lemma 5.2.1]). We deduce that $\mathcal{J}(G_1/N_1) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(G_1) \cap \mathcal{J}(G_2) = \emptyset$, thus the group $G_1/N_1$ is solvable. The groups $G_1$ and $G_2$ have no common abelian quotients besides 1 (this follows from the assumption $\gcd(|G_1^{\mathrm{ab}}|, |G_2^{\mathrm{ab}}|) = 1$), so from (A.1) and the solvability, we deduce that $G_1 = N_1$ and $G_2 = N_2$. From the definition of the $N_i$, we find that $H$ contains $\{1\} \times G_2$ and $G_1 \times \{1\}$, hence $H = G_1 \times G_2$. □

**Lemma A.5** ([Lan02, XIII Theorem 8.4])**.** *For $\ell \geq 5$, $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\}$ is a non-abelian simple group of order $(\ell^3 - \ell)/2$. The groups $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ are solvable.*

**Lemma A.6.** *Let $m$ and $n$ be relatively prime positive integers and let $H$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z})$. Then $H = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $H$ surjects onto $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ by reduction modulo $m$ and $n$, respectively.*

*Proof.* Using Lemma A.5 and the solvability of $\ell$-groups, we deduce that for any positive integer $d$, $\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})) = \{\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) : \ell | d, \ell \geq 5\}$. Since $m$ and $n$ are relatively prime, we have $\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})) \cap \mathcal{J}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})) = \emptyset$. By Lemma A.1,

$$\gcd(|\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{ab}}|, |\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{ab}}|) = \gcd(m, n, 12) = 1.$$

The lemma is now a direct consequence of Lemma A.4. □

**Lemma A.7.** *Let $H$ be a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. Then $H = \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ if and only if $H \bmod 4 = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$, $H \bmod 9 = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$, and $H \bmod \ell = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ for all $\ell \geq 5$.*

*Proof.* We have $H = \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ if and only if $H \bmod m = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ holds for all positive integers $m$. By Lemmas A.2 and A.6, this equivalent to having $H \bmod m = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ whenever $m$ is 4, 9, or a prime $\geq 5$. □

A.4. **Conjugacy classes with fixed determinant.**

**Lemma A.8.** *Let $\ell$ be a prime and $H$ a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. Fix an element $d \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$. If $H \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for every conjugacy class $C$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ with $\det(C) = \{d\}$, then $H \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$.*

*Proof.* First suppose that $d = b^2$ for some $b \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$. The group $H$ then contains an element conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ to $b \cdot \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. In particular, $|H| \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$. By [Ser72, Proposition 15] (which needs the condition $|H| \equiv 0 \bmod \ell$), we deduce that either $H$ is contained in a Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ or $H$ contains $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. If $H$ was contained in a Borel subgroup, then the main hypothesis of the lemma would imply that every semisimple matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ of determinant $d$ is diagonalizable over $\mathbb{F}_\ell$; which is false. Therefore, $H \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$.

Now suppose that $d$ is not a square in $\mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $H$ contains the scalar matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. Since $d$ is not a square, we have $\det(H) = \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times$.

We shall now show that $H = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. Let $H_d$ be the set of elements of $H$ with determinant $d$. The main hypothesis of the lemma implies that

$$\{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell) : \det(A) = d\} = \bigcup_{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)/H} g H_d g^{-1}. \tag{A.2}$$

By counting both sides, we find that the expression (A.2) must be a disjoint union. Therefore

$$\bigcup_{h \in H_d} \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell) : ghg^{-1} \in H\} \subseteq H. \tag{A.3}$$

Using (A.2) and (A.3), we find that $H$ contains both split and non-split Cartan subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ (see [Ser72, §2.1] for definitions). Using Propositions 17 and 14 of [Ser72], we deduce that $H = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. □

**Lemma A.9.** *Let $m$ be a positive integer and let $H$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$. If $H \cap C \neq \emptyset$ for every conjugacy class $C$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ with determinant 1, then $H \supseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$.*

*Proof.* By replacing $H$ with $H \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$, we may assume that $H$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$. By Lemma A.6, it suffices to consider the case where $m$ is a prime power. By Lemma A.2, we may further assume that $m$ is 4, 9, or a prime. The case where $m$ is prime, is a consequence of Lemma A.8.

We may thus assume that $m = \ell^2$, where $\ell = 2$ or 3. There is an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathfrak{s} \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \overset{\bmod \ell}{\to} \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) \to 1.$$

Since $\mathfrak{s}$ is abelian, it has a natural $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$-action; i.e., lift to an element of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ and act via conjugate on $\mathfrak{s}$. By the prime case of the lemma, we find that the image of $H$ modulo $\ell$ is $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore $H \cap \mathfrak{s}$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$.

If $\ell = 3$, then $H \cap \mathfrak{s}$ contains an element conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$ to $A := \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. The conjugacy classes of $A$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$ are equal and have cardinality 12. Since $H \cap \mathfrak{s}$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$, we deduce that $H \cap \mathfrak{s}$ contains at least 12 elements. Since $|\mathfrak{s}| = 27$, we conclude that $H \cap \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}$ and hence $H = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$.

If $\ell = 2$, then $H \cap \mathfrak{s}$ contains $B := \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ which is in the center of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$. Also $H \cap \mathfrak{s}$ must contain at least one element not in $\{I, B\}$. Since $|\mathfrak{s}| = 8$, we have

$$[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) : H] = [\mathfrak{s} : H \cap \mathfrak{s}] \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Suppose that $[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) : H] = 2$. Then $H$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ with quotient cyclic of order 2. However, by Lemma A.1 there is only one index 2 subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$, and when reduced modulo 2, it does not have image $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore $[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) : H] = 1$. □

## References


[Ade01] Clemens Adelmann, *The decomposition of primes in torsion point fields*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1761, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. ↑2

[Bom78] E. Bombieri, *On exponential sums in finite fields. II*, Invent. Math. **47** (1978), no. 1, 29–39. ↑6

[Duk97] William Duke, *Elliptic curves with no exceptional primes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **325** (1997), no. 8, 813–818. ↑1.2, 5.4

[Gre07] Aaron Greicius, *Elliptic curves with surjective global Galois representation*, Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2007. ↑1, 1.1

[Jon06a] Nathan Jones, *Almost all elliptic curves are Serre curves* (2006). arXiv:math/0611096v1 [math.NT]. ↑1.2, 1.6, 2, 3, 5.4

[Jon06b] ———, *Trace formulas and class number sums* (2006). arXiv:math/0610959v1 [math.NT]. ↑3

[Lan02] S. Lang, *Algebra*, 3rd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 211, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. ↑A.5

[LT76] Serge Lang and Hale Trotter, *Frobenius distributions in* $\mathrm{GL}_2$*-extensions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976. Distribution of Frobenius automorphisms in $\mathrm{GL}_2$-extensions of the rational numbers; Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 504. ↑2

[MW93] D. W. Masser and G. Wüstholz, *Galois properties of division fields of elliptic curves*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **25** (1993), no. 3, 247–254. ↑5.7

[Rib76] Kenneth A. Ribet, *Galois action on division points of Abelian varieties with real multiplications*, Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), no. 3, 751–804. ↑A.3

[Ser72] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), no. 4, 259–331. ↑1, 1.2, 5.3, A.4, A.4

[Ser97] ———, *Lectures on the Mordell-Weil theorem*, 3rd ed., Aspects of Mathematics, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997. Translated from the French and edited by Martin Brown from notes by Michel Waldschmidt; With a foreword by Brown and Serre. ↑4.1

[Ser98] ———, *Abelian l-adic representations and elliptic curves*, Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 7, A K Peters Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1998. With the collaboration of Willem Kuyk and John Labute; Revised reprint of the 1968 original. ↑A.2, A.3

[Shi94] Goro Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, vol. 11, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994. Reprint of the 1971 original; Kano Memorial Lectures, 1. ↑A.1



Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6395, USA
*E-mail address*: zywina@math.upenn.edu
*URL*: http://www.math.upenn.edu/~zywina